



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/800,057	03/12/2004	Cindy A. Sprecher	93-14D5	9117
7590 03/27/2006			EXAMINER	
Brian J. Walsh			CARLSON, KAREN C	
Patent Departme	ent			
ZymoGenetics, Inc.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1201 Eastlake Avenue East			1653	
Seattle, WA 98102			DATE MAILED: 03/27/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

_	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
i.	10/800,057	SPRECHER ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Karen Cochrane Carlson, Ph.D.	1653					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
	-· action is non-final.						
'=							
<i>,</i> — ···	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	·						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
	·						
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P	atent Application (PTO-152)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					

Application/Control Number: 10/800,057

Art Unit: 1653

Claims 1-22 are currently pending and are under examination.

Priority is set to November 5, 1993.

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 5-11 and 16-22 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-17 of U.S. Patent No. 5,455,338.

Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims are overlapping in content regarding DNA encoding amino acids 34-89 or 1-235 of SEQ ID NO: 2, and DNA comprising nucleotides 138-305 or 39-743 of SEQ ID NO: 1.

Claims 1-4 and 12-15 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-6 of U.S. Patent No. 5,914,315.

Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims are overlapping with regard to amino acids 34-89 or 1-235 of SEQ ID NO: 2.

Claims 1-4 and 12-15 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-6 of copending Application No. 10/680,684 (US 2004/0253686). Although the conflicting claims are not identical,

Application/Control Number: 10/800,057

Art Unit: 1653

they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims are overlapping with regard to amino acids 34-89 or 1-235 of SEQ ID NO: 2.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 1, 10, 12, and 21 refer to "highly stringent hybridization conditions". These conditions are not set forth in the specification - see Detailed Description of the Invention, at paragraph 12, stringency is discussed but not conditions are set forth. Therefore, one skilled in the art cannot know what is intended by this limitation.

Claims 2, 11, and 13 refer to "conservative amino acid substitution". This phrase is not set forth in the specification, and therefore one skilled in the art cannot know what substations are intended. Additionally, with reference to the claims from which these claims depend, it is not clear if this limitation has antecedent basis because the independent claims are drawn to human Kunitz-type inhibitors, which are considered to be natural proteins unless otherwise stated, such as by reference to percent identity, variant, and so on. The phrase "conservative amino acid substitution" lends it self to deliberate amino acid substitution, rather than naturally selected amino acid substitution.

Art Unit: 1653

Claim 5 refers to the DNA of Claim 1. Claim 1 is drawn to a protein, not to a nucleic acid. See also Claim 16. Claim 16 refers to the DNA of Claim 12, but Claim 12 is drawn to a protein and not to a nucleic acid.

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 2, 11, and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

The specification is silent regarding what is intended by "conservative amino acid substitution". Therefore, the specification does not provide a written description of this claimed embodiment, and the claims are rejected accordingly.

Art of Record:

Sprecher et al.'s USP 5,728,674 is a divisional of USP 5,455,338 and claims methods for inhibiting blood coagulation by administering human Kunitz-type inhibitor.

Sprecher et al.'s USP 6,656,746 is also a divisional of USP 5,455,338 and claims subjected matter drawn to antibodies against human Kunitz-type inhibitor.

Application/Control Number: 10/800,057

Art Unit: 1653

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Karen Cochrane Carlson, Ph.D. whose telephone number is 571-272-0946. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00 AM - 4:00 PM, off alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dr. Jon Weber can be reached on 571-272-0925. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

KAREN COCHRANE CARLSON, PH.D PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 5